



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/636,591 | 08/10/2000 | Shuhei Kato | P100341-00003 | 6504 |

4372 7590 03/12/2007
ARENT FOX PLLC
1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.
SUITE 400
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

LAO, LUN S

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

2615

| SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|--|------------|---------------|
| 3 MONTHS | 03/12/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/636,591

Applicant(s)

KATO ET AL.

Examiner

Lun-See Lao

Art Unit

2643

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 August 2000.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3 and 6-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 4-5 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- : Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Introduction

1. Claims 1-14 of U.S. Application 09/09/636,591 filed on 08-10-2000 are presented for examination.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: specification page 16 lines 23-24, reference number DAC 17,17' and 18,18' are not shown in fig.2. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 3,8 and 9 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim 3,8 and 9. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 3, 8 and 9 are not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-3 and 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Malcolm (US Pat. 6,373,954) in view of Tsukamoto et al. (US PAT. 4,815,352).

Consider claim 1, Malcolm teaches a sound processor formed on a single semiconductor device to reproduce pulse-code-modulated sound waveform data, comprising (see abstract):

sequence control means (see fig.1 121, 122, 120, such as first in, first out and see col. 11 line 57-62);

bus interface means (101) for a common bus including an address bus and a data bus;

bus master means (101) for issuing an address to said common bus through said bus interface means (101) under control of said sequence control means (116), and reading and writing data for a resource connected to said common bus; data holding means (103) for holding part of data read out by said bus master means (101); M sets (M being a natural number) of independent digital/analog converting means ((110) for converting digital data over a sound channel into an analog sound signal;

Art Unit: 2615

data output control means (118, DSP) for controlling an output of data to said digital/analog converting means (110 and see col. 10 line 28-col.11 line 62); but Malcolm does not clearly teach that time division multiplexing means for time-division-multiplexing and outputting data of over N sets (N being a natural number greater than 2) of sound channels to each of digital/analog converting means required for reproduction; whereby data is to be simultaneously reproduced over a plurality of sets of sound channels represented by a product of M and N.

However, Tsukamoto teaches that time division multiplexing means (see fig.17, 6-7) for time-division-multiplexing and outputting data of over N sets (N being a natural number greater than 2) of sound channels to each of digital/analog converting means (91-93) required for reproduction (see abstract and col.8 lines 15-55); whereby data is to be simultaneously reproduced over a plurality of sets of sound channels represented by a product of M and N (see fig.17 and col.16 line 20-62).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Tsukamoto in to Malcolm to provide a digital generating system which suitable for LSI use as the tone source circuit of an electronic musical instrument.

Consider claim 2, Malcolm teaches a sound processor of bus master means (see fig.1, 101) further has a function of determining whether data required in reproduction is stored in said data holding means or not, and acquiring the data from a resource connected to said common bus and storing the data in said data holding means (103)

Art Unit: 2615

where the data required in reproduction is not stored in said data holding means (103 and see col.10 line 28-58).

Consider claim 3 Tsukamoto teaches a sound processor of the digital/analog converting means (see fig.17, 91-93) is structured by a plurality of digital/analog converters and said digital/analog converters are in cascade connection (see fig.17).

Consider claim 8-9, Tsukamoto teaches a sound processor of the data output means (see fig. 17) further has a function of outputting data in later timing, with respect to timing (6,7) of outputting data to a certain digital/analog converter (91-93), to a digital/analog converter connected in a next stage thereto, and controlling timing (by microcomputer) of outputs to eliminate interference between time slots due to signal delay between said cascade-connected digital/analog converters (91-93) when outputting data to said cascade-connected digital/analog converter (91-93 and see col.16 line 20-col.16 line 61) and a sound processor of the data output control means (microcomputer) is to be programmably set in timing of outputting data (see col. 16 line 20-col.17 line 61).

Consider claim 10-12, Malcolm teaches a sound processor of the sound waveform data is configured by two arrays (array0 and array1 and see table 67) having end codes provided at respective terminal ends of the arrays (see col.120 line 35-col.121 line 62), and said bus master means (see fig.1, 101) further having a function to start reading at a head of the first array (array0), uninterruptedly starting reading at a head of the second array (array1) immediately after reading the end code of the first array (array0), and uninterruptedly starting reading at the head of the second array (array1) after

Art Unit: 2615

reading out the end code of the second array (array1 and see col.119 line 19 –col. 122 line 63); and a sound processor of further comprising accumulating means (see fig.3, 315) and means (see fig. 45) for storing pitch control information (by microcontroller), wherein the pitch control information is read out at a constant time interval (see col.119 line 19-67) and accumulated by said accumulating means (see fig.3, 315), and one part or the whole of an accumulation result being utilized as address information for access to a common bus of said bus master means (see fig.1,101 and col.12 line 41-col.13 line 26); and a sound processor of the bus interface means (see fig.1, 101) is provided independent for a plurality of common buses.

Consider claim 13, Malcolm teaches a sound processor of further comprising interrupt request control means (see fig.1, 103 and col. 38 line 42-67) to be controlled by said sequence control means(see fig.1 121, 122,120, such as first in, first out and see col. 11 line 57-62); and generate an interrupt request signal, wherein said bus master means (see fig.1, 101) comprises waveform reading control means (see fig. 43L, 430 and col. 108 line 60-col.111 line 67) to control reading of sound waveform data, envelope/preset control means (see fig.1, 103) to control reading out of parameters for controlling envelope data and sound reproduction (see col. 119 line 19-67), and

access arbitrating means (microcontroller and software) an to arbitrate between an access of from said envelope/preset control means to the common bus and an access of from said waveform reading control means (microcontroller) to the common bus (see col. 99 line 7-51 and col.119 line 19-col.120 line 67),

said bus interface means (see fig.1, 101) comprising

Art Unit: 2615

first bus interface means (101) to a first common bus, and

second bus interface (105) to a second common bus (see col.10 line 20-64).

Consider claim 14, Malcolm teaches a sound processor apparatus, comprising:

being configured on one single semiconductor device (see abstract),

first and second buses (see fig.1, 101,105) having independent data transfer capabilities,

a central processing unit (see fig1, 103 microcontroller) and a sound processor (118, DSP)-according (see col.10 line 28-64) to claim 12 or 13 (see previous rejection claim 1 and claim 12 or 13) as bus masters (see fig.1, 101) for said first and second buses, a memory connected to said first bus, a first bus arbitrating means (see fig.1, 101) to administer arbitration over said first bus (see col. 10 line 28-65), and a second bus arbitrating means (105) to administer arbitration over said second bus (see col.10 line 50-65).

6. Claims 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Malcolm (US Pat. 6,373,954) as modified by Tsukamoto et al.(US PAT. 4,815,352) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Anderson (US PAT. 6,078,594).

Consider claim 6, Malcolm and Tsukamoto do not clearly teach a sound processor of the data output control means further has a function to control a constant period of a mute state between adjacent sound channels time-division-multiplexed.

Art Unit: 2615

However, Anderson teaches a sound processor of the data output control means further has a function to control a constant period of a mute state between adjacent sound channels time-division-multiplexed (see col.11 lines 35-60).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Anderson into the teaching of Malcolm and Tsukamoto to provide to the latency of channel changes through the use of flags that are passed with the data between the transport demultiplexor and decoder.

Consider claim 7, Anderson teaches a sound processor of the mute state has a period to be set programmable (see col.6 line 27-51).

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 4-5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Huynh (US PAT. 3,512,152); Robison (US PAT. 5,402,499), Eidson (US PAT. 6,255,906); Tsumura (US PAT. 5,046,004) and Norris (US PAT. 5,659,466) are recited to show other related the sound processor.

9. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Mail Stop ____ (explanation, e.g., Amendment or After-final, etc.)

Art Unit: 2615

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Facsimile responses should be faxed to:
(703) 872-9306


Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:
Customer Service Window
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lao,Lun-See whose telephone number is (571) 272-7501. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chin Vivian, can be reached on (571) 272-7848.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Lao,Lun-See L.S.
Patent Examiner
US Patent and Trademark Office
Knox
571-272-7501
02-23-2007


VIVIAN CHIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600